





Credibility interval Design for Covid19 Reproduction Number from Nonsmooth Langevin-type Monte Carlo sampling

H. Artigas<sup>1,†</sup>, <u>B. Pascal<sup>2</sup></u>, G. Fort<sup>4,†</sup>, P. Abry<sup>3,‡</sup>, N. Pustelnik<sup>3,5</sup>

# EUSIPCO 2022, Belgrade, Serbia

- 1. Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, France
- 2. CNRS, Université de Lille, CRIStAL, France
- 3. CNRS, ENS de Lyon, Laboratoire de Physique, France,
- 4. CNRS, Institut de Mathématique de Toulouse, France,
- 5. UC Louvain, Belgium
- <sup>†</sup> Partly funded by the Fondation Simone et Cino Del Duca, Institut de France
- <sup>‡</sup> Partially supported by Grant 80PRIME-2021 CNRS



## Pandemic monitoring

#### Counts of daily new infections



data from National Health Agencies collected by Johns Hopkins University

## Pandemic monitoring





data from National Health Agencies collected by Johns Hopkins University

Design adapted counter measures and evaluate their effectiveness

- $\rightarrow\,$  efficient monitoring tools
- $\rightarrow\,$  robust to low quality of the data
- ightarrow accompanied by reliable confidence level

epidemiological model, managing outliers, credibility intervals.

## Pandemic monitoring





data from National Health Agencies collected by Johns Hopkins University

Design adapted counter measures and evaluate their effectiveness

- ightarrow efficient monitoring tools epi
  - $\rightarrow\,$  robust to low quality of the data
  - ightarrow accompanied by reliable confidence level

 $\implies$  number of cases not informative enough: need to capture the dynamics

epidemiological model, managing outliers, credibility intervals. Key concept: the reproduction number  $\mathsf{R}_0$ 

(Liu et al., 2018, *PNAS*)

"averaged number of secondary cases generated by a typical infectious individual"

 $\implies$  relaxed into effective reproduction number  $R_t$  at time t

## Epidemiological models

Key concept:the reproduction number  $R_0$ (Liu et al., 2018, PNAS)"averaged number of secondary cases generated by a typical infectious individual" $\implies$  relaxed into effective reproduction number  $R_t$  at time t

(Cori et al., 2013, Am. Journal of Epidemiology ; Abry et al., 2020, PlosOne ; Pascal et al., 2022, Trans. Sig. Process. ; Fort et al., 2022, preprint)

T observations  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_T)^\top \in \mathbb{N}^T$ ,  $Z_t$ : new infections on day  $t, \theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{0})$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{Z}_t | \mathsf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathsf{Z}_{t-1}) = \mathsf{Poiss}\left(p_t(\theta)\right), \quad p_t(\theta) = \mathsf{R}_t\left(\Phi\mathsf{Z}\right)_t + \mathsf{O}_t$$

 $\left(\Phi \mathsf{Z}\right)_{t} = \sum_{u=1}^{\tau_{\phi}} \Phi_{u} \mathsf{Z}_{t-u}, \ \Phi: \ \text{serial interval function}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  random delay between onset of symptoms in primary and secondary cases



Gamma distribution with

- mean 6.6 days
- standard deviation 3.5 days

Log-likelihood from Poisson model

(by convention  $0 \ln 0 = 0$ )

$$f(\theta) := \begin{cases} -\sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathsf{Z}_t \ln p_t(\theta) - p_t(\theta)) & \text{if } \theta \in \mathcal{D} = \{\theta \,|\, \forall t, \ p_t(\theta) \ge 0\}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

**Log-likelihood from Poisson model** (by convention  $0 \ln 0 = 0$ )  $\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{T} (\mathbf{Z} \ln \mathbf{p}(\theta) - \mathbf{p}(\theta)) & \text{if } \theta \in \mathcal{D} = \{\theta \mid \forall t = \mathbf{p}(\theta) > 0\} \end{cases}$ 

$$f(\theta) := \begin{cases} -\sum_{t=1}^{r} (\mathsf{Z}_t \ln p_t(\theta) - p_t(\theta)) & \text{if } \theta \in \mathcal{D} = \{\theta \mid \forall t, \ p_t(\theta) \ge 0\}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

A priori distribution of  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O}) = (\mathsf{R}_1, \dots, \mathsf{R}_T, \mathsf{O}_1, \dots, \mathsf{O}_T) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^T \times \mathbb{R}^T$ 

- reproduction number:  $R_t 2R_{t-1} + R_{t-2} \sim Laplace(\lambda_R)$
- outliers  $O_t \sim Laplace(\lambda_O)$  $\Rightarrow g(\theta) = \lambda_R \|D_2 \mathbf{R}\|_1 + \lambda_O \|\mathbf{O}\|_1, \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Laplacian

Log-likelihood from Poisson model

(by convention 
$$0 \ln 0 = 0$$
)

$$f(\theta) := \begin{cases} -\sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathsf{Z}_t \ln p_t(\theta) - p_t(\theta)) & \text{if } \theta \in \mathcal{D} = \{\theta \,|\, \forall t, \ p_t(\theta) \ge 0\}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

A priori distribution of  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O}) = (\mathsf{R}_1, \dots, \mathsf{R}_T, \mathsf{O}_1, \dots, \mathsf{O}_T) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^T \times \mathbb{R}^T$ 

- reproduction number:  $R_t 2R_{t-1} + R_{t-2} \sim Laplace(\lambda_R)$
- outliers  $O_t \sim Laplace(\lambda_O)$  $\Rightarrow g(\theta) = \lambda_R ||D_2 \mathbf{R}||_1 + \lambda_O ||\mathbf{O}||_1, \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & & & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & & & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Laplacian

A posteriori distribution of unknown parameters  $\theta = (\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{O})$ 

$$\pi( heta) \propto \exp\left(-f( heta) - g( heta)
ight) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}( heta)$$

- f, g convex
- f smooth, g nonsmooth

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling

**Purpose:** sampling the random variable  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}$  according to the posterior<sup>†</sup>  $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger~\pi$  is defined up to a normalizing constant

**Purpose:** sampling the random variable  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}$  according to the posterior<sup>†</sup>  $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

**Principle:** 1) generate a random sequence  $\{\theta^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that

- $\theta^{n+1}$  only depends on  $\theta^n$ ,
- at convergence, i.e., as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\theta^n \sim \pi$ ,

2) compute Bayesian estimators, e.g., credibility intervals, on samples  $\{\theta^n, n \ge N\}$ 

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$   $\pi$  is defined up to a normalizing constant

**Purpose:** sampling the random variable  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}$  according to the posterior<sup>†</sup>  $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

**Principle:** 1) generate a random sequence  $\{\theta^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that

- $\theta^{n+1}$  only depends on  $\theta^n$ ,
- at convergence, i.e., as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\theta^n \sim \pi$ ,

2) compute Bayesian estimators, e.g., credibility intervals, on samples  $\{\theta^n, n \ge N\}$ 

State-of-the-art: Hastings-Metropolis random walk

(i) propose a random move according to

$$heta^{n+rac{1}{2}}= heta^n+\sqrt{2\gamma}\mathsf{\Gamma}\xi^{n+1},\quad \xi^{n+1}\sim\mathcal{N}_{2 au}(\mathsf{0},\mathsf{I})$$

with  $\gamma$  positive step size,  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{2^T \times 2^T}$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$   $\pi$  is defined up to a normalizing constant

**Purpose:** sampling the random variable  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}$  according to the posterior<sup>†</sup>  $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

**Principle:** 1) generate a random sequence  $\{\theta^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that

- $\theta^{n+1}$  only depends on  $\theta^n$ ,
- at convergence, i.e., as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\theta^n \sim \pi$ ,

2) compute Bayesian estimators, e.g., credibility intervals, on samples  $\{\theta^n, n \ge N\}$ 

State-of-the-art: Hastings-Metropolis random walk

(i) propose a random move according to

$$heta^{n+rac{1}{2}}= heta^n+\sqrt{2\gamma}\mathsf{\Gamma}\xi^{n+1},\quad\xi^{n+1}\sim\mathcal{N}_{2 au}(\mathsf{0},\mathsf{I})$$

with  $\gamma$  positive step size,  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{2^T \times 2^T}$ 

(ii) accept: 
$$\theta^{n+1} = \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$
, with probability  $1 \wedge \frac{\pi(\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}})}{\pi(\theta^n)}$ , or reject:  $\theta^{n+1} = \theta^n$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$   $\pi$  is defined up to a normalizing constant

## Metropolis Adjusted Langevin Algorithm (MALA)

Langevin dynamics:  $\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma}\xi^{n+1}$ , (Kent, 1978, Adv Appl Probab)  $\mu(\theta)$  adapted to  $\pi(\theta) = \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta))\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

## Metropolis Adjusted Langevin Algorithm (MALA)

Langevin dynamics:  $\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma}\xi^{n+1}$ , (Kent, 1978, *Adv Appl Probab*)  $\mu(\theta)$  adapted to  $\pi(\theta) = \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta))\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

<u>Case 1:</u> g = 0 and  $-\ln \pi = f$  is smooth (Roberts & Tweedie, 1996, Bernoulli)  $\mu(\theta) = \theta - \gamma \Gamma \Gamma^{\top} \nabla f(\theta) = \theta + \gamma \Gamma \Gamma^{\top} \nabla \ln \pi(\theta)$ 

 $\implies$  move towards areas of higher probability

## Metropolis Adjusted Langevin Algorithm (MALA)

Langevin dynamics:  $\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma}\xi^{n+1}$ , (Kent, 1978, Adv Appl Probab)  $\mu(\theta)$  adapted to  $\pi(\theta) = \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta))\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

<u>Case 1:</u> g = 0 and  $-\ln \pi = f$  is smooth (Roberts & Tweedie, 1996, Bernoulli)  $\mu(\theta) = \theta - \gamma \Gamma \Gamma^{\top} \nabla f(\theta) = \theta + \gamma \Gamma \Gamma^{\top} \nabla \ln \pi(\theta)$ 

 $\Longrightarrow$  move towards areas of higher probability

<u>Case 2:</u>  $-\ln \pi = f + g$  is nonsmooth

$$\mu(\theta) = \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}^{\Gamma\Gamma^{\top}}(\theta - \gamma \Gamma\Gamma^{\top} \nabla f(\theta))$$

combining Langevin and proximal<sup>†</sup> approaches

<sup>†</sup> prox<sub>$$\gamma g$$</sub> <sup>$\Gamma\Gamma^{\top}$</sup>  $(y) = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|_{\Gamma\Gamma^{\top}}^2 + \gamma g(x)\right)$ : preconditioned proximity operator of  $g$   
6/11

Posterior density of  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O})$ :  $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

• smooth negative log-likelihood

if 
$$\theta \in \mathcal{D}$$
,  $f(\theta) = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathsf{Z}_t \ln p_t(\theta) - p_t(\theta)), p_t(\theta) = \mathsf{R}_t(\Phi\mathsf{Z})_t + \mathsf{O}_t$ 

• nonsmooth convex lower-semicontinuous negative a priori log-distribution

$$g(\theta) = \lambda_{\rm R} \|\mathsf{D}_2 \mathbf{R}\|_1 + \lambda_{\rm O} \|\mathbf{O}\| = h(\mathsf{A}\theta)$$

A :  $\theta \mapsto (D_2 \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O})$  linear operator,  $h(\cdot_1, \cdot_2) = \lambda_R \|\cdot_1\|_1 + \lambda_O \|\cdot_2\|_1$ 

Posterior density of  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O})$ :  $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta) - g(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)$ 

smooth negative log-likelihood

if 
$$\theta \in \mathcal{D}$$
,  $f(\theta) = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathsf{Z}_t \ln p_t(\theta) - p_t(\theta))$ ,  $p_t(\theta) = \mathsf{R}_t(\Phi\mathsf{Z})_t + \mathsf{O}_t$ 

nonsmooth convex lower-semicontinuous negative a priori log-distribution

$$g(\theta) = \lambda_{\rm R} \|\mathsf{D}_2 \mathbf{R}\|_1 + \lambda_{\rm O} \|\mathbf{O}\| = h(\mathsf{A}\theta)$$

A :  $\theta \mapsto (\mathsf{D}_2 \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O})$  linear operator,  $h(\cdot_1, \cdot_2) = \lambda_{\mathrm{R}} \|\cdot_1\|_1 + \lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \|\cdot_2\|_1$ 

<u>Case 3:</u>  $-\ln \pi = f + h(A \cdot)$  (Fort et al., 2022, *preprint*)

closed-form expression of  $prox_{\gamma h}$  but not of  $prox_{\gamma h(A)}$ 

1) extend A into **invertible**  $\overline{A}$ , and *h* in  $\overline{h}$  such that  $\overline{h}(\overline{A}\theta) = h(A\theta)$ 2) reason on the **dual** variable  $\tilde{\theta} = \overline{A}\theta$ 

Langevin: drift toward higher probability regions

$$\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ln \pi(\theta) = \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\theta) + \bar{h}(\overline{A}\theta) = A^{-1} \underset{\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\overline{A}^{-1}\tilde{\theta}) + \bar{h}(\tilde{\theta})$$

Langevin: drift toward higher probability regions  

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmax}} & \ln \pi(\theta) = \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\theta) + \overline{h}(\overline{A}\theta) = A^{-1}\underset{\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\overline{A}^{-1}\tilde{\theta}) + \overline{h}(\tilde{\theta}) \\ \\ \implies \mu(\theta) = \underbrace{\overline{A}^{-1}}_{\underset{\text{back to }\theta}{\overline{A}}} \underbrace{\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma \overline{h}} \left(\overline{A}\theta - \gamma \overline{A}^{-\top} \nabla f(\theta)\right)}_{\underset{\text{proximal-gradient on } \tilde{\theta}}} \end{aligned}$$

Two strategies to extend  $A = \begin{pmatrix} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2T-1) \times 2T}$  into  $\overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T \times 2T}$ :

Two strategies to extend 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2T-1) \times 2T}$$
 into  $\overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{D} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T \times 2T}$ :

Invert

$$\overline{\mathsf{D}}_2 := egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ -2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ D_2 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Langevin: drift toward higher probability regions} \\ \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmax } \ln \pi(\theta) = \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin } f(\theta) + \overline{h}(\overline{A}\theta) = A^{-1}\underset{\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin } f(\overline{A}^{-1}\tilde{\theta}) + \overline{h}(\tilde{\theta})} \\ \implies \mu(\theta) = \frac{\overline{A}^{-1}}{\underset{\text{back to } \theta}{\underline{A}^{-1}}} \underbrace{\underset{\text{prox}_{\gamma \overline{h}}}{\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma \overline{h}}} \left(\overline{A}\theta - \gamma \overline{A}^{-\top} \nabla f(\theta)\right)} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{proximal-gradient on } \tilde{\theta}} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Two strategies to extend  $A = \begin{pmatrix} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2T-1) \times 2T} \text{ into } \overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{D} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T \times 2T}: \\ \text{Invert} & \text{Ortho} \\ \overline{D}_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ D_2 & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad \overline{D}_o := \begin{bmatrix} v_1^{\top} \\ v_2^{\top} \\ D_2 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2T} \\ v_1 \perp v_2, v_1, v_2 \in (D_2^{\top})^{\perp} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ 

Langevin: drift toward higher probability regions  

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ln \pi(\theta) &= \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\theta) + \overline{h}(\overline{A}\theta) = A^{-1}\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\overline{A}^{-1}\tilde{\theta}) + \overline{h}(\tilde{\theta}) \\ &\implies \mu(\theta) = \frac{\overline{A}^{-1}}{\underset{\text{back to } \theta}{\overline{A}}} \frac{\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma \overline{h}} \left(\overline{A}\theta - \gamma \overline{A}^{-\top} \nabla f(\theta)\right)}{\underset{\text{proximal-gradient on } \overline{\theta}}{} \end{aligned}$$
Two strategies to extend  $A = \begin{pmatrix} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2T-1) \times 2T} \text{ into } \overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{D} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2T \times 2T}$ :  
Invert Ortho  
 $\overline{D}_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ D_2 & & \end{bmatrix} \quad \overline{D}_o := \begin{bmatrix} v_1^{\top} \\ v_2^{\top} \\ D_2 \end{bmatrix} v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2T} \\ v_1 \perp v_2, v_1, v_2 \in (D_2^{\top})^{\perp} \end{aligned}$ 

Proposed PGdual drift terms on  $\theta = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{O})$ :

reproduction numbers 
$$\mu_{\mathsf{R}}(\theta) = \overline{\mathsf{D}}^{-1} \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma_{\mathsf{R}}\lambda_{\mathsf{R}} \parallel (\cdot)_{3:T} \parallel_{1}} \left( \overline{\mathsf{D}} \, \mathsf{R} - \gamma_{\mathsf{R}} \overline{\mathsf{D}}^{-\top} \, \nabla_{\mathsf{R}} f(\theta) \right)$$
  
outliers  $\mu_{\mathsf{O}}(\theta) = \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma_{\mathsf{O}}\lambda_{\mathsf{O}} \parallel \cdot \parallel_{1}} \left( \mathbf{O} - \gamma_{\mathsf{O}} \nabla_{\mathsf{O}} f(\theta) \right)$ 

#### Algorithm 1: Proximal-Gradient dual: PGdual Invert and PGdual Ortho

Data: 
$$\overline{D} = \overline{D}_2$$
 (Invert) or  $\overline{D} = \overline{D}_o$  (Ortho)  
 $\gamma_R, \gamma_O > 0, N_{max} \in \mathbb{N}_*, \theta^0 = (\mathbb{R}^0, \mathbb{O}^0) \in \mathcal{D}$   
Result: A  $\mathcal{D}$ -valued sequence  $\{\theta^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{O}^n), n \in 0, \dots, N_{max}\}$   
for  $n = 0, \dots, N_{max} - 1$  do  
Sample  $\xi_R^{n+1} \sim \mathcal{N}_T(0, \mathbb{I})$  and  $\xi_O^{n+1} \sim \mathcal{N}_T(0, \mathbb{I})$ ;  
Set  $\mathbb{R}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu_R(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma_R}\overline{D}^{-1}\overline{D}^{-\top}\xi_R^{n+1}$ ;  
 $\mathbb{O}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu_O(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma_O}\xi_O^{n+1}$ ;  
 $\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = (\mathbb{R}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{O}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})$ ;  
Set  $\theta^{n+1} = \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  with probability  
 $1 \wedge \frac{\pi(\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}})}{\pi(\theta^n)} \frac{q_R(\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \theta_R^n)}{q_R(\theta^n, \theta_R^{n+\frac{1}{2}})} \frac{q_O(\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \theta_O^n)}{q_O(\theta^n, \theta_O^{n+\frac{1}{2}})}$ ,  
 $q_{R/O}$ : Gaussian kernel stemming from nonsymmetric proposal  
and  $\theta^{n+1} = \theta^n$  otherwise.

## Comparison of MCMC sampling schemes

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Gaussian proposal:} & \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma} \Gamma \xi^{n+1} \\ \bullet \text{ random walks: } \mu(\theta) = \theta \\ & \mathbb{RW:} \ \Gamma = \mathsf{I} \text{ ; } \mathbb{RW} \text{ Invert: } \Gamma = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_2^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}}_2^{-\mathsf{T}} \text{ ; } \mathbb{RW} \text{ Ortho: } \Gamma = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_o^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}}_o^{-\mathsf{T}} \\ \bullet \text{ Proximal-Gradient dual: } \mu_{\mathsf{R}}(\theta), \ \mu_{\mathsf{O}}(\theta), \ \Gamma = \overline{\mathsf{D}}^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}}^{-\mathsf{T}} \\ & \mathbb{P}\text{Gdual Invert: } \overline{\mathsf{D}} = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_2 \text{ ; } \mathbb{P}\text{Gdual Ortho: } \overline{\mathsf{D}} = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_o \\ \end{array}$ 

# Comparison of MCMC sampling schemes

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Gaussian proposal:} & \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \mu(\theta^n) + \sqrt{2\gamma} \Gamma \xi^{n+1} \\ \bullet \text{ random walks: } \mu(\theta) = \theta \\ & \mathbb{RW:} \ \Gamma = \mathsf{I} \text{ ; } \mathbb{RW} \text{ Invert: } \Gamma = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_2^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}}_2^{-\mathsf{T}} \text{ ; } \mathbb{RW} \text{ Ortho: } \Gamma = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_o^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}}_o^{-\mathsf{T}} \\ \bullet \text{ Proximal-Gradient dual: } \mu_{\mathsf{R}}(\theta), \ \mu_{\mathsf{O}}(\theta), \ \Gamma = \overline{\mathsf{D}}^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}}^{-\mathsf{T}} \\ & \mathbb{P}\text{Gdual Invert: } \overline{\mathsf{D}} = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_2 \text{ ; } \mathbb{P}\text{Gdual Ortho: } \overline{\mathsf{D}} = \overline{\mathsf{D}}_o \\ \\ \textbf{Practical settings: } N_{\text{max}} = 10^7 \text{ iterations, } 15 \text{ independent runs} \\ & \text{ log-density } \end{array}$ 



## PGdual credibility interval estimation of the reproduction number



 $\Longrightarrow$  significant step toward actual use of the estimate  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathcal{T}}$ 

Denoised counts and reproduction number estimates for several countries available at https://perso.ens-lyon.fr/patrice.abry/ https://perso.math.univ-toulouse.fr/gfort/

#### Further investigations and perspectives:

• comparisons with other MCMC strategies, such as Gibbs samplers

(Fort et al., 2022, preprint)

► automated data-driven tuning of hyperparameters ( $\gamma_{R/O}$ ,  $\lambda_{R}$ ,  $\lambda_{O}$ , ...)