The Kravchuk transform:

A novel covariant representation for discrete signals amenable to zero-based detection tests

March 11th 2022

Barbara Pascal

Groupe de travail Processus Ponctuels et Applications

CRIStAL & Laboratoire Paul Painlevé

Outline of the presentation

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II

Signal processing aims to extract **information** from **real** data.

Data of very diverse types:

- measurements of a physical quantity,
- biological or epidemiological indicators,
- data produced by human activities.

The Golden triangle of signal processing



data: modeling of phenomena

mathematics: formalization & evaluation

computer science: efficient implementation

inspired from P. Flandrin

Time and frequency: two dual descriptions of temporal signals

A continuous finite energy **signal** is a function of time $y(t)$ with $y \in L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$.



- electrical cardiac activity,
- audio recording,
- seismic activity,
- light intensity on a photosensor
- ...

Information of interest:

– time events, e.g., an earthquake and its replica

– frequency content, e.g., monitoring of the heart beating rate

time	**frequency**
ever-changing world	waves, oscillations, rhythms
marker of events and evolutions	intrinsic mechanisms

A **chirp** is a transient waveform modulated in amplitude and frequency:

$$x(t) = A_\nu(t)\sin\left(2\pi\left(f_1 + (f_2 - f_1)\frac{t+\nu}{2\nu}\right)t\right)$$



White noise is a random variable $\xi(t)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t)] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\overline{\xi(t)}\xi(t')] = \delta(t-t')$$



P. Flandrin: 'A signal is characterized by a structured organization.'

Noisy observations $y(t) = \mathsf{snr} \times x(t) + \xi(t)$



<u>Signal processing tasks:</u> given an observation $y(t)$

denoising consists in retrieving the pure signal $x(t)$.

detection amounts to decide whether there is a signal or only noise.

Time *or* frequency

Fourier transform: $\mathcal{F}y(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{y(t)} \exp(-\mathrm{i}\omega t)\,\mathrm{d}t$





In the Fourier representation, the temporal information is **lost**.

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II

Time *and* frequency Short-Time Fourier Transform with window h:

$$V_h y(t,\omega) \triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{y(u)} h(u-t) \exp(-\mathrm{i}\omega u) \,\mathrm{d}u$$





Energy density interpretation $S_h y(t,\omega) = |V_h y(t,\omega)|^2$ the *spectrogram*

$$\int \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S_h y(t,\omega) \,\mathrm{d}t \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x(t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{if} \quad \|h\|_2^2 = 1$$

Signal, i.e., information of interest: regions of **maximal** energy.

spectrogram



noisy observation



spectrogram



only maxima



noisy observation



<u>Inversion formula</u> $$y(t) = \int \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{V_h y(u,\omega)} h(t-u) \exp(\mathrm{i}\omega u) \, \mathrm{d}u \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}$$



Denoising in the time-frequency plane: $y = \mathrm{snr} \times x + \xi$, $\quad$ snr $= 0.5$

<u>Inversion formula</u> $$y(t) = \int \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{V_h y(u,\omega)}\, h(t-u) \exp(\mathrm{i}\omega u)\, \mathrm{d}u \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}$$

spectrogram



only maxima



noisy observation



estimate



Denoising in the time-frequency plane: $y = \mathrm{snr} \times x + \xi$, $\quad \mathrm{snr} = 0.5$

<u>Inversion formula</u> $$y(t) = \int \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{V_h y(u,\omega)} h(t-u) \exp(\mathrm{i}\omega u) \, \mathrm{d}u \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}$$



Maxima detection: *reassignment, synchrosqueezing, ridge extraction*

Outline of the presentation

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- **Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I**
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II

Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4}\mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.

Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.





Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.





Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.





Observations: (Gardner & Magnasco, 2006), (Flandrin, 2015)

- In the noise region zeros are evenly spread.
- There exists a short-range repulsion between zeros.
- Zeros are repelled by the signal.

Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.





Observations: (Gardner & Magnasco, 2006), (Flandrin, 2015)

- In the noise region zeros are evenly spread.
- There exists a short-range repulsion between zeros.
- Zeros are repelled by the signal.

Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.





<u>Observations:</u> (Gardner & Magnasco, 2006), (Flandrin, 2015)

- In the noise region zeros are evenly spread.
- There exists a short-range repulsion between zeros.
- Zeros are repelled by the signal.

Restriction to the **circular Gaussian window**: $g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2/2}$

Look for the zeros, i.e., the points (t_i, ω_i) such that $|V_g y(t_i, \omega_i)|^2 = 0$.





Observations: (Gardner & Magnasco, 2006), (Flandrin, 2015)

- In the noise region zeros are evenly spread.
- There exists a short-range repulsion between zeros.
- Zeros are repelled by the signal.

What can be said theoretically about the zeros of the spectrogram?

Idea assimilate the time-frequency plane with $\mathbb{C}$ through $z = (\omega + \mathrm{i}t)/\sqrt{2}$



Idea assimilate the time-frequency plane with $\mathbb{C}$ through $z = (\omega + \mathrm{i}t)/\sqrt{2}$



Bargmann factorization

$$V_g y(t,\omega) = \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/2}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t/2} By(z)$$

g the circular Gaussian window

Bargmann transform of the signal y

$$By(z) \triangleq \pi^{-1/4}\mathrm{e}^{-z^2/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{y(u)} \exp\left(\sqrt{2}uz - u^2/2\right)\,\mathrm{d}u,$$

By is an **entire** function, almost characterized by its infinitely many zeros:

$$By(z) = z^m \mathrm{e}^{C_0 + C_1 z + C_2 z^2} \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_n}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z}{z_n} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{z_n}\right)^2\right).$$

Idea assimilate the time-frequency plane with $\mathbb{C}$ through $z = (\omega + \mathrm{i}t)/\sqrt{2}$



Bargmann factorization

$$V_g y(t, \omega) = \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t/2} By(z)$$

g the circular Gaussian window

Theorem The zeros of the Gaussian spectrogram $V_g y(t, \omega)$

- coincide with the zeros of the **entire** function By,
- hence are **isolated** and constitute a **Point Process**,
- which almost completely **characterizes** the spectrogram.

(Flandrin, 2015)





Advantages of working with the zeros

- Easy to find compared to relative maxima.
- Form a robust pattern in the time-frequency plane.
- Require little memory space for storage.
- Efficient tools were recently developed in **stochastic geometry**.

Need for a rigorous characterization of the distribution of the zeros.

Continuous complex white Gaussian noise

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi[n] h_n(t), \ \xi[n] \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1), \quad \{h_n, k = 0, 1, \ldots\} \text{ Hermite functions}$$





Continuous complex white Gaussian noise

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi[n] h_n(t),\ \xi[n] \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1), \quad \{h_n, k = 0, 1, \dots\} \text{ Hermite functions}$$





Theorem $\quad V_g\xi(t,\omega) = \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t/2}\,\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}(z)$ (Bardenet & Hardy, 2021)

$\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi[n] \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$ the *planar Gaussian Analytic Function* and $z = \frac{\omega + \mathrm{i}t}{\sqrt{2}}$.



$$V_g\xi(t,\omega) \overset{\text{non-vanishing}}{\propto} \mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}(z)$$

$$z = (\omega + \mathrm{i}t)/\sqrt{2}$$

Zeros of $\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}$: random set of points forming a **Point Process** characterized by a probability distribution on point configurations

Properties of the Point Process of the zeros of $\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}$:

- invariant under the isometries of $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., **stationary**,
- has a uniform density $\rho^{(1)}(z) = \rho^{(1)} = 1/\pi$,
- explicit pair correlation function $\rho^{(2)}(z, z') = g_0(|z - z'|)$,
- scaling of the *hole probability*: $r^{-4} \log p_r \to -3\mathrm{e}^2/4$, as $r \to \infty$

$$p_r = \mathbb{P}\,(\text{no point in the disk of center 0 and radius } r)$$



$$V_g\xi(t,\omega) \overset{\text{non-vanishing}}{\propto} \mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}(z)$$

$$z = (\omega + \mathrm{i}t)/\sqrt{2}$$

Zeros of $\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}$: random set of points forming a **Point Process** characterized by a probability distribution on point configurations



Pair correlation $\rho^{(2)}(z, z')\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}z' =$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(1 \text{ point in } B(z, \mathrm{d}z) \text{ and } 1 \text{ in } B(z', \mathrm{d}z')\right)$$

The point process of the zeros of the spectrogram is not **determinantal**.

Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros

(Bardenet, Flamant & Chainais, 2020)

- $\mathbf{H}_0$ white noisy only, i.e., $y(t) = \xi(t)$
- $\mathbf{H}_1$ presence of a signal, i.e., $y(t) = \text{snr} \times x(t) + \xi(t), \quad \text{snr} > 0$

null hypothesis





alternative hypothesis





(Bardenet, Flamant & Chainais, 2020)

- $\mathbf{H}_0$ white noisy only, i.e., $y(t) = \xi(t)$
- $\mathbf{H}_1$ presence of a signal, i.e., $y(t) = \text{snr} \times x(t) + \xi(t)$, $\text{snr} > 0$

null hypothesis





alternative hypothesis





Purpose: summary statistic s, such that for $y = \text{snr} \times x + \xi$

$$\mathbb{E}[s(y)|\mathbf{H}_0] = 0 \qquad\qquad \mathbb{E}[s(y)|\mathbf{H}_1] > 0$$



snr $= 0$



snr > 0

'**Large value of $s(y)$ is a strong indication that there is a signal.**'

Tools from **stochastic geometry** to capture spatial statistics of the zeros.



snr $= 0$



snr > 0

The signal creates **holes** in the zeros pattern: **sedond order** statistics.



snr $= 0$



snr > 0

The signal creates **holes** in the zeros pattern: **sedond order** statistics.

A functional statistic: the empty space function

Z a stationary point process, z_0 any reference point

$$F(r) = \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{z_i \in Z} \mathrm{d}(z_0, z_i) < r\right)$$

$\rightarrow$ probability to find a zero at distance less than r from z_0

Estimation of the F-function of a **stationary** Point Process (Møller, 2007)

$$F(r) = \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{z_i \in Z} \mathrm{d}(z_0, z_i) < r\right) : \ \textbf{empty space function}$$





Estimation of the F-function of a **stationary** Point Process (Møller, 2007)

$$F(r) = \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{z_i \in Z} \mathrm{d}(z_0, z_i) < r\right) : \textbf{ empty space function}$$





$$\widehat{F}(r) = \frac{1}{N_\#} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\#} \mathbf{1}\left(\inf_{z \in \textbf{Zeros}} \mathrm{d}\left(z_j, z\right) < r\right)$$



Estimation of the F-function of a **stationary** Point Process (Møller, 2007)

$$F(r) = \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{z_i \in Z} \mathrm{d}(z_0, z_i) < r\right) : \textbf{ empty space function}$$





$$\widehat{F}(r) = \frac{1}{N_\#} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\#} \mathbf{1}\left(\inf_{z \in \textbf{Zeros}} \mathrm{d}\left(z_j, z\right) < r\right)$$



- Monte Carlo envelope test based on the discrepancy between $\widehat{F}$ and F_0

Test settings: α level of significance, m number of samples under $\mathbf{H}_0$

Index k, chosen so that $\alpha = k/(m+1)$

(i) generate m independent samples of complex white Gaussian noise;

(ii) compute their summary statistics $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \ldots \geq s_m$;

(iii) compute the summary statistics of the observations $\boldsymbol{y}$ under concern;

(iv) if $s(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq s_k$, then reject the null hypothesis with confidence $1 - \alpha$.

Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$



$N = 256$



$N = 512$



$N = 1024$

Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$ $N = 256$ $N = 512$ $N = 1024$

Performance: power of the test computed over 200 samples



Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$



$N = 256$



$N = 512$



$N = 1024$

Performance: power of the test computed over 200 samples



✓ Fast Fourier Transform

✗ low detection power

✗ requires large number of samples



Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$ $N = 256$ $N = 512$ $N = 1024$

Performance: power of the test computed over 200 samples



✓ Fast Fourier Transform

✗ low detection power

✗ requires large number of samples



Limitations:

- necessary discretization of the STFT: arbitrary resolution
- observe only a bounded window: edge correction to compute $\widehat{F}(r)$

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II

Unbounded phase space $\mathbb{C}$



Short-Time Fourier Transform

$$V_g\xi(t,\omega) \propto \mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi[n] \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$$

$\rightarrow$ edge corrections

Short-Time Fourier Transform

$$V_g\xi(t,\omega) \propto \mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{C}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi[n]\frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$$

Unbounded phase space $\mathbb{C}$



$\rightarrow$ edge corrections

New transform?

$$? \quad \propto \mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{S}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}[n]\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}z^n$$

stereographic projection $z = \cot(\vartheta/2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$

$\rightarrow$ spherical coordinates $(\vartheta, \varphi) \in S^2$

Compact phase space S^2?



$\rightarrow$ no border!

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}y(u-t)$





Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} y(u-t)$





$$V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y](t',\omega') \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\omega'-\omega)t} V_h y(t'-t, \omega'-\omega),$$





Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} y(u - t)$

$$\left| V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y](t', \omega') \right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left| V_h y(t' - t, \omega' - \omega) \right|^2,$$

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} y(u-t)$

$$\left| V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y](t',\omega') \right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left| V_h y(t'-t, \omega'-\omega) \right|^2,$$

Complex white Gaussian noise $\widetilde{\xi} = \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} \xi$

- $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\xi}(u)] \quad = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} \mathbb{E}[\xi(u-t)] \quad = 0$

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} y(u-t)$

$$\left| V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y](t',\omega') \right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left| V_h y(t'-t, \omega'-\omega) \right|^2,$$

Complex white Gaussian noise $\widetilde{\xi} = \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} \xi$

- $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\xi}(u)] = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} \mathbb{E}[\xi(u-t)] = 0$
- $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\widetilde{\xi}(u)} \widetilde{\xi}(u')] = e^{\mathrm{i}\omega(u-u')} \mathbb{E}[\overline{\xi(u)} \xi(u')] = \delta(u-u')$

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}y(u-t)$

$$\left|V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y](t',\omega')\right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left|V_h y(t'-t,\omega'-\omega)\right|^2,$$

Complex white Gaussian noise $\widetilde{\xi} = \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}\xi$

- $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\xi}(u)] = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}\mathbb{E}[\xi(u-t)] = 0$
- $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\widetilde{\xi}(u)}\widetilde{\xi}(u')] = e^{\mathrm{i}\omega(u-u')}\mathbb{E}[\overline{\xi(u)}\xi(u')] = \delta(u-u')$

Invariance under time-frequency shifts:

$$\widetilde{\xi} = \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}\xi \overset{\text{(law)}}{=} \xi$$



Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}y(u-t)$

$$\left|V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y](t',\omega')\right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left|V_h y(t'-t,\omega'-\omega)\right|^2,$$

Complex white Gaussian noise $\widetilde{\xi} = \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}\xi$

- $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\xi}(u)] = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}\mathbb{E}[\xi(u-t)] = 0$
- $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\widetilde{\xi}(u)}\widetilde{\xi}(u')] = e^{\mathrm{i}\omega(u-u')}\mathbb{E}[\overline{\xi(u)}\xi(u')] = \delta(u-u')$

Invariance under time-frequency shifts:

$$\widetilde{\xi} = \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}\xi \overset{\text{(law)}}{=} \xi$$



Covariance is the key to get **stationarity**: how to get covariant transforms?

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}y(u-t)$

$$\left|V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y](t',\omega')\right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left|V_h y(t'-t,\omega'-\omega)\right|^2,$$

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}y(u-t)$

$$\left|V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y](t',\omega')\right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left|V_h y(t'-t,\omega'-\omega)\right|^2,$$

Weyl-Heisenberg group $\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\gamma}\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)},\ (\gamma,t,\omega)\in[0,2\pi]\times\mathbb{R}^2\}$

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{(t',\omega')}\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t'}\boldsymbol{W}_{(t+t',\omega+\omega')}.$$

Algebraic interpretation: covariance under a symmetry group

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u}y(u-t)$

$$\left|V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)}y](t',\omega')\right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left|V_h y(t'-t,\omega'-\omega)\right|^2,$$

Weyl-Heisenberg group $\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\gamma}\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)},\, (\gamma,t,\omega)\in[0,2\pi]\times\mathbb{R}^2\}$

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{(t',\omega')}\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t'}\boldsymbol{W}_{(t+t',\omega+\omega')}.$$



$g(t) = \pi^{-1/4}\exp\left(-t^2/2\right)$ $\quad$ $\mathbf{T}_u g(t) = g(t-u)$ $\quad$ $\mathbf{M}_\omega g(t) = g(t)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\omega t\right)$

Algebraic interpretation: covariance under a symmetry group

Time and frequency shifts $\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y(u) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega u} y(u-t)$

$$\left| V_h[\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} y](t', \omega') \right|^2 \overset{\text{(covariance)}}{=} \left| V_h y(t'-t, \omega'-\omega) \right|^2 ,$$

Weyl-Heisenberg group $\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\gamma} \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)},\ (\gamma, t, \omega) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R}^2\}$

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{(t',\omega')} \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t'} \boldsymbol{W}_{(t+t',\omega+\omega')}.$$

Coherent state interpretation $\{\boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} h,\ t, \omega \in \mathbb{R}\}$ covariant family

$$V_h y(t, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{y(u)} h(u-t) \exp(-\mathrm{i}\omega u) \, \mathrm{d}u = \langle y, \boldsymbol{W}_{(t,\omega)} h \rangle$$





$g(t) = \pi^{-1/4} \exp\left(-t^2/2\right)$ $\quad$ $\mathbf{T}_u g(t) = g(t-u)$ $\quad$ $\mathbf{M}_\omega g(t) = g(t) \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\omega t\right)$

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II

The Kravchuk transform: covariance under $\mathrm{SO}(3)$



Coherent state interpretation $\quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(\vartheta,\varphi) = \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(\vartheta,\varphi)} \rangle$$

$\vartheta \in [0,\pi], \varphi \in [0,2\pi]$





Coherent state interpretation $\quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(\vartheta,\varphi) = \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(\vartheta,\varphi)} \rangle$$

$\vartheta \in [0,\pi], \varphi \in [0,2\pi]$

$\mathrm{SO}(3)$ **coherent states** (Gazeau, 2009)

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\vartheta,\varphi} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} \left(\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)^{n} \left(\sin\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)^{N-n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n\varphi} \boldsymbol{q}_n = \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{u}(\vartheta,\varphi)} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(0,0)},$$

Coherent state interpretation $\quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(\vartheta, \varphi) = \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(\vartheta,\varphi)} \rangle$$

$\vartheta \in [0, \pi], \varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$



$\mathrm{SO}(3)$ **coherent states** (Gazeau, 2009)

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\vartheta,\varphi} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} \left(\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)^{n} \left(\sin\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)^{N-n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n\varphi} \boldsymbol{q}_n = \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{u}(\vartheta,\varphi)} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(0,0)},$$

Kravchuk transform $\quad \{\boldsymbol{q}_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ the *Kravchuk functions*

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)^N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n, \quad z = \cot(\vartheta/2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$$

Kravchuk transform $\{\boldsymbol{q}_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ the *Kravchuk functions*

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)^N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n, \quad z = \cot(\vartheta/2) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$$







Kravchuk transform $\{\boldsymbol{q}_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ the *Kravchuk functions*

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)^N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n, \quad z = \cot(\vartheta/2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$$







Theorem $T\boldsymbol{\xi}(\vartheta, \varphi) = \sqrt{(1+|z|^2)}^{-N} \mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{S}}(z), \qquad z = \cot(\vartheta/2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$

$\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{S}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \xi[n] \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n$ the *spherical Gaussian Analytic Function*

(Pascal & Bardenet, 2022)

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II

Kravchuk transform $\{\boldsymbol{q}_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ the Kravchuk basis

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)^N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n, \quad z = \cot(\vartheta/2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$$

$\rightarrow$ first: basis change, i.e., computation of $\langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}[\ell]} q_n(\ell; N)$

Kravchuk transform $\{\boldsymbol{q}_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ the Kravchuk basis

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)^N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n, \quad z = \cot(\vartheta/2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}$$

$\rightarrow$ first: basis change, i.e., computation of $\langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n \rangle = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}[\ell]} q_n(\ell; N)$

Evaluation of Kravchuk functions $q_n(\ell; N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}} \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} Q_n(\ell; N) \sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$

$$(N - n)Q_{n+1}(t; N) = (N - 2t)Q_n(t; N) - nQ_{n-1}(t; N),$$

$\{Q_n(t; N), n = 0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ orthogonal family of Kravchuk polynomials

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \binom{N}{\ell} Q_n(\ell; N) Q_{n'}(\ell; N) = 2^N \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \delta_{n,n'}$$

Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$

Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$



Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$



Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$



Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}\,Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$



Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$



Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$





Evaluation of Kravchuk functions

(i) recursion to compute the Kravchuk polynomials

$$(N-n)Q_{n+1}(t;N) = (N-2t)Q_n(t;N) - nQ_{n-1}(t;N),$$

(ii) multiplication by the binomial coefficients

$$q_n(\ell;N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}}\sqrt{\binom{N}{n}}Q_n(\ell;N)\sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}}$$





→ estimated basis is **not orthogonal**! Not possible to compute $\langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{q}_n\rangle$.

Kravchuk transform $\{\boldsymbol{q}_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ the Kravchuk basis

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)^N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}[\ell]} q_n(\ell; N) \right) \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n \to \text{intractable}$$

A generative function for Kravchuk polynomials

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \binom{N}{n} Q_n(\ell; N) z^n = (1-z)^\ell (1+z)^{N-\ell}$$

$$\implies \quad \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} q_n(\ell; N) z^n = \sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}} \frac{(1-z)^\ell (1+z)^{N-\ell}}{\sqrt{2^N}}$$

$$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+|z^2|)^N}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \sqrt{\binom{N}{\ell}} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}[\ell]} \frac{(1-z)^\ell (1+z)^{N-\ell}}{\sqrt{2^N}}$$

✗ no more Fast Fourier Transform algorithm using $z^n = \cot(\vartheta/2)^n \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n\varphi}$







Advantage compared to Fourier: can tune the resolution of phase space.

Detection of the zeros of the Kravchuk spectrogram $|T\boldsymbol{y}(z_i)|^2 = 0$







Advantage compared to Fourier: can tune the resolution of phase space.



Minimal Grid Neighbors



Advantage compared to Fourier: can tune the resolution of phase space.



Minimal Grid Neighbors



In progress: demonstrate that all local minima of $|T\boldsymbol{y}(z)|^2$ are zeros.

Outline of the presentation

- What is signal processing?

- Time-frequency analysis: the Short-Time Fourier Transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - I
- Covariance principle and stationary point processes

- The Kravchuk transform and its zeros
- Numerical implementation of the Kravchuk transform
- Signal detection based on the spectrogram zeros - II



snr $= 0$ snr > 0

The signal creates **holes** in the zeros pattern: **sedond order** statistics.

Functional statistics:

- the empty space function
  $F(r) = \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{z_i \in Z} \mathrm{d}(z_0, z_i) < r\right)$: **probability to find a zero at less than** r
- Ripley's K-function
  $K(r) = 2\pi \int_0^r s g_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s$: **expected # of pairs at distance less than** r

Detection test: choice of the functional statistic



snr $= 0.5$



snr $= 1$



snr $= 2$



snr $= 5$



snr = 0.5



snr = 1



snr = 2



snr = 5

Ripley's K functional vs. empty space functional F



$N + 1 = 257$ points



$N + 1 = 513$ points

Detection test: snr and relative duration of the signal

Fixed observation window of 40 **s**

long time event



duration $2\nu = 30$ s

short time event



duration $2\nu = 20$ s

Fixed observation window of 40 s

long time event



duration $2\nu = 30$ s

short time event



duration $2\nu = 20$ s

Robustness to small number of samples and short duration.

medium noise level



snr $= 2$

Fixed observation window of 40 s

long time event



duration $2\nu = 30$ s

short time event



duration $2\nu = 20$ s

Robustness to small number of samples and short duration.

medium noise level



snr $= 2$

high noise level



snr $= 1.5$

Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$



$N = 256$



$N = 512$



$N = 1024$

Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$



$N = 256$



$N = 512$



$N = 1024$

Performance: power of the test computed over 200 samples



Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$



$N = 256$



$N = 512$



$N = 1024$

Performance: power of the test computed over 200 samples



- ✓ higher detection power
- ✓ more robust to small N
- ✗ no fast algorithm yet

Detection of a noisy chirp of duration $2\nu = 30$ s



$N = 128$



$N = 256$



$N = 512$



$N = 1024$

Performance: power of the test computed over 200 samples



✓ higher detection power

✓ more robust to small N

✗ no fast algorithm yet

Advantages of using Kravchuk vs. Fourier spectrogram

- intrinsically encoded resolution: no need for prior knowledge
- compact phase space: no edge correction



Take home messages

- Novel covariant discrete Kravchuk transform $T\boldsymbol{y}(\vartheta, \varphi)$
  - Interpreted as a coherent state decomposition
  - Representation on a compact phase space
  - Zeros of the Kravchuk spectrogram of white noise fully characterized
- Signal detection based on spectrogram zeros
  - Preliminary work using the zeros of the Fourier spectrogram
  - Significant improvement using the Kravchuk spectrogram

Pascal & Bardenet, 2022: `arxiv:2202.03835`
`GitHub: bpascal-fr/kravchuk-transform-and-its-zeros`

Work in progress and perspectives

- Interpretation of the action of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$
- Implementation of the inversion formula: denoising based on zeros
- Design of a Kravchuk FFT counterpart
- Convergence of Kravchuk toward the Fourier spectrogram as $N \to \infty$



Spherical Gaussian Analytic Function

$$\mathrm{GAF}_{\mathbb{S}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}[n] \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} z^n$$

with $\boldsymbol{\xi}[n] \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ i.i.d.

$\rightarrow$ only **simple** zeros

General case $$T\boldsymbol{y}(z) = \sqrt{(1+|z|^2)}^{-N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} (\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{y})[n] z^n$$

If $\boldsymbol{y}$ deterministic, such that $(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{y})[n] = \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} a^{N-n} b^n, a \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$\sqrt{(1+|z|^2)}^{-N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sqrt{\binom{N}{n}} (\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{y})[n] z^n = (a+bz)^N$$

$\rightarrow -a/b$ multiple root of order of degeneracy N